REMARKS

Initially, in the Office Action the Examiner has rejected claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-21, 23, 24, 26-30 and 32-36 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0054333 (Johnson). Claims 7, 10, 22, 25, 31 and 37 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,943,611 (Molne).

By the present response, Applicant has canceled claims 2, 3, 17 and 18 without disclaimer. Further, Applicant has amended claims 1, 4, 5, 8-11, 13, 16, 19, 20, 23-26, 28 and 32 to further clarify the invention. Claims 1, 4-16 and 19-37 remain pending in the present application.

35 U.S.C. § 102 Rejections

Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-21, 23, 24, 26-30 and 32-36 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Johnson. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Johnson discloses placing data into an appropriate directory of a wireless device, such as sending a requested telephone number for entry into the directory resident on a wireless telephone. In one embodiment, the system allows a user to dial to a directory assistance service, provide a name for a destination party, and, automatically receive and store a telephone number for the destination party, and store the telephone number into the telephone directory in the wireless telephone. In another embodiment, the user invokes a wireless device resident application that interacts with an assistance server on the wireless network and retrieves the requested data.

Regarding claims 1, 11, 16, 26 and 32, Applicant submits that Johnson does not disclose or suggest the limitations in the combination of each of these claims of the present application. For example, Applicant submits that Johnson does not disclose or suggest the detecting the requested number returned from the information service comprising using voice recognition algorithms to parse the audible response returned from the information service into a series of numbers that comprise the requested phone number. The Examiner appears to assert that Johnson discloses these

limitations in paragraphs 006-009. However, these portions merely disclose that a wireless telephone may send identification of a party and receive a download of a telephone number or other data from a server and store the telephone number or data in the wireless telephone. This is not detecting the requested number returned from the information service comprising using voice recognition algorithms to parse the audible response returned from the information service into a series of numbers that comprise the requested phone number, as recited in the claims of the present application.

Johnson does not disclose or suggest using voice recognition to obtain the requested phone number. Johnson merely discloses downloading a telephone number as a data message (see, para. 20, 24).

Moreover, Applicant submits that Johnson does not disclose or suggest detecting that a number of the called information service is stored in a predetermined table of information service numbers, or activating a process for detecting and storing a received phone number responsive to the detecting the number of the called information service being stored in the predetermined table of information service numbers. As noted previously, Johnson merely discloses sending an identification of a party and receiving a download of a telephone number or other data and storing the telephone number or data. This is not detecting that a number of the called information service is stored in a predetermined table of information service numbers, or activating a process for detecting and storing a received phone number responsive to the detecting the number of the called information service being stored in the predetermined table of information service numbers, as recited in the claims of the present application. These limitations are neither disclosed nor suggested by Johnson. Johnson does not disclose or suggest a number of the called information service being stored in a predetermined table of information service numbers or activating a process for detecting and storing a received phone number responsive to the number being stored.

Regarding claims 4-6, 8, 9, 12-15, 19-21, 23, 24, 27-30 and 33-36, Applicant submits that these claims are dependent on one of independent claims 1, 11, 16, 26 and 32 and, therefore, are patentable at least for the same reasons noted previously regarding these independent claims.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that Johnson does not disclose or suggest the limitations in the combination of each of claims 1, 4-6, 8, 9, 11-16, 19-21, 23, 24, 26-30 and 32-36 of the present application. Applicant respectfully requests that these rejections be withdrawn and that these claims be allowed.

35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejections

Claims 7, 10, 22, 25, 31 and 37 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,943,611 (Molne). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Molne discloses in a method of storing directory information in a cellular radiotelephone, the cellular radiotelephone system is provided with a network directory database including a plurality of telephone numbers. The user is prompted for the input of search criteria, and the input search criteria is accepted. A search request data signal is generated in response to the input search criteria, and this signal is sent to the cellular system. The network directory database is searched for a match with the search criteria, and one or more telephone numbers can be identified. These telephone numbers are returned to the radiotelephone and stored in a memory of the radiotelephone. This method eliminates the need to interact with a directory assistance operator and reduces the time of connection between the radiotelephone and the cellular system.

Applicant submits that claims 7, 10, 22, 25, 31 and 37 are dependent on one of independent claims 1, 16, 26 and 32 and, therefore, are patentable at least for the same reasons noted previously regarding these independent claims. Applicant submits that Molne does not overcome the substantial defects noted previously regarding Johnson.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that none of the cited references, taken alone or in any proper combination, disclose, suggest or render obvious the limitations in the combination of each of claims 7, 10, 22, 25, 31 and 37 of the present application.

Applicant respectfully requests that these rejections be withdrawn and that these claims be allowed.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that claims 1, 4-16 and 19-37 are now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, early allowance of such claims is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions about the present amendment or anticipates finally rejecting any claim of the present application, a telephone interview is requested.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4365.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas David Snyder

(Applicant)

Date: December 19, 2007

By: _____ Frederick D. Bailey

Registration No. 42,282 Moore & Van Allen PLLC

P.O. Box 13706

Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709

Telephone: (919) 286-8000 Facsimile: (919) 286-8199